UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Introduction to and Best Practices Before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (TTAB)

Mark A. Thurmon Administrative Trademark Judge Trademark Trial and Appeal Board



General information about TTAB

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

- Established in 1958 to streamline administrative proceedings
- In 1993, the title of the decision makers was changed from "Board members" to "Administrative Trademark Judges"
- The leadership title was changed from "Chairman of the Board" to "Chief Administrative Trademark Judge;" four individuals have held this position
- In 2000, a Managing Interlocutory Attorney position was created; five individuals have held this position
- In 2012, a Senior Level attorney position for the editor of the TTAB Manual of Procedure (TBMP) was established; one individual has held this position
- In 2015, the position of "Deputy Chief Administrative Trademark Judge" was created; two individuals have held this position

Types of proceedings

- **Ex parte appeals, applications**: Appeal from a trademark examining attorney's refusal to register
- **Ex parte appeals, registrations**: Appeal from a final Office action in an expungement or reexamination proceeding
- **Oppositions**: Challenge by any party believing it would be damaged by registration of pending application
- Cancellations: Challenge by any party believing it would be damaged by existing registration
- Concurrent use: Request for a geographically limited registration



Limited jurisdiction

- Right to registration: TTAB considers only the right to registration, not the right to use
- Related issues: TTAB may not resolve related issues such as contract or licensing disputes
- Limited remedies: TTAB cannot award damages or attorney fees, or issue injunctions, etc.
- BUT administrative proceedings can be faster and less expensive

Board personnel

- Chief Administrative Trademark Judge
- Deputy Chief Administrative Trademark Judge
- Senior Attorney and TBMP Editor
- Managing Interlocutory Attorney
- Administrative Trademark Judges
- Interlocutory Attorneys
- Chief Clerk
- Lead Paralegal and Paralegals
- Administrative Officer
- Administrative & technical staff



Administrative trademark judges

- **Preside:** At oral hearings
- **Final decisions:** Draft final decisions on merits of appeals, oppositions, cancellations, and concurrent use proceedings
- **Dispositive orders:** Review and approve orders on dispositive or potentially dispositive motions



Interlocutory attorneys

- Draft and issue orders on non-dispositive motions
 - Discovery motions
 - Contested scheduling motions
 - Complicated uncontested motions
- Draft orders on dispositive motions for approval by judges
 - Motions for summary judgment
 - Motions for sanctions
 - Motions to dismiss



Paralegals

- Draft and issue orders on consent motions and uncontested motions
 - Extensions of time to oppose
 - Extension or suspension of proceedings
 - Stipulated dispositions
- Draft orders for interlocutory attorney signature
- Draft and issue orders on appeal cases
- **Docket** management



Client services

- Information specialists
 - Answer public inquiries by telephone and email about
 TTAB practice and electronic filing
 - 571-272-8500
 - TTABInfo@uspto.gov
- Hearing specialist
- Supervisor



The rest...

- Chief clerk
- Analytics and information technology
- Administrative staff
 - TTAB administrative officer
 - Human capital
 - Budget and contracts
 - Telework, communications and space
 - Visual information



Ex parte appeals

Procedure: Ex parte appeals

- Final refusal to register or second refusal on the same issue
- Must file an appeal within a set period from issuance of the final office action
 - Three months for an application
 - Optional three-month extension may be requested for a fee
 - Six months for a Madrid application (Trademark Act § 66(a))
 - Three months for a registration
 - Optional one-month extension may be requested for a fee
- No new evidence permitted during appeal
- Appellant and examining attorney submit briefs
- Appellant may request oral hearing
 - Participation by video optional



Evidence on appeal

- The record in an application should be complete before an appeal is filed. Trademark Rule 2.142(d)
- Do not attach evidence to an appeal brief

```
In re Integra Biosciences Corp., 2022 USPQ2d 93, at *7-8 (TTAB 2022)
```

In re Fallon, 2020 USPQ2d 11249, at *2 (TTAB 2020)



Evidence on appeal (cont'd)

- Record is only as good as the quality of evidence submitted
 - Incomplete, illegible or otherwise unreadable evidence is of no probative value
 - Evidence that is not on point and does not address the issues on appeal is of no value
 - Quantity does not equate to quality
 - Cumulative evidence, especially if not on point, only adds bulk to the proceeding file

Introducing internet evidence

Must include URL address and date page accessed
 In re I-Coat Co., 126 USPQ2d 1730, 1733 (TTAB 2018)

 A web address or hyperlink is insufficient to make a webpage of record

In re ADCO Indus. – Techs., L.P., 2020 USPQ2d 53786, at *2 (TTAB 2020)



Probative value of internet evidence

- Generally considered for what it shows on its face In re Jasmin Larian, LLC, 2022 USPQ2d 290, at *22 (TTAB 2022) In re Embiid, 2021 USPQ2d 577, at *5 n.19 (TTAB 2021)
- Internet searches lacking context generally have limited probative value

```
In re Consumer Protection Firm PLLC, 2021 USPQ2d 238, at *21 n.28 (TTAB 2021)
```

 Wikipedia evidence has inherent limitations; Board will consider whether non-offering party had an opportunity to rebut its accuracy

In re Lizzo LLC, 2023 USPQ2d 139, at *24 (TTAB 2023)



Introducing third-party registrations

The Board will not take judicial notice of third-party registrations

```
In re MK Diamond Prods., Inc., 2020 USPQ2d 10882, at *1 n.5 (TTAB 2020)
```

- Submit printouts from the USPTO's electronic databases showing current status and title
- Merely listing registrations is insufficient



When and how to request remand

 Do not embed request in appeal brief; file request with the Board <u>before</u> briefing

In re Adlon Brand GmbH & Co. KG, 120 USPQ2d 1717, 1724-25 (TTAB 2016)

Must include a showing of good cause,

e.g., why the additional evidence sought to be introduced was not previously available

e.g., proposed amendment complies with a requirement or will obviate a ground for refusal

Board will consider timing of request in assessing whether there is good cause to remand

In re NextGen Mgmt., LLC, 2023 USPQ2d 14, at *5 (TTAB 2023)

 Remand not permitted in appeals of ex parte expungement and reexamination proceedings



Reasons for requesting suspension

- Registration cited as a bar under Trademark Act Section 2(d) is in maintenance window
- Registration cited as a bar under Trademark Act Section 2(d) is subject to a cancellation proceeding
- Applicant is involved in a civil action or other Board proceeding that may have an effect on outcome of the appeal
- Consent agreement with owner of a registration cited as a bar under Trademark Act Section 2(d)

Briefing pitfalls

- Repeating verbatim your last response to the examining attorney during prosecution
- Engaging in extensive discussion of legal standards, unless the standards themselves are in dispute
- Advancing arguments that are unavailable under the statute or the case law (e.g., arguing that applicant's and/or registrant's actual use restricts the goods identified in the cited registration)

In re Embiid, 2021 USPQ2d 577, at *26-28 (TTAB 2021)



Briefing pitfalls (cont'd)

- Asserting arguments without evidentiary support
 In re NextGen Mgmt., LLC, 2021 USPQ2d 14, at *4 (TTAB 2023)
- Relying on cases with different factual records

```
In re Lizzo LLC, 2023 USPQ2d 139, at * 39-40 (TTAB 2023)
```

In re Pound Law, LLC, 2022 USPQ2d 1062, at *43 (TTAB 2022)

In re Ala. Tourism Dept., 2020 USPQ2d 10485, at *11 (TTAB 2020)

Briefing pitfalls (cont'd)

- Wasting space on string cites
- Attaching to the brief any evidentiary materials other than proper subjects for judicial notice
- Offering ad hominem commentary about the owner of the cited registration, the examining attorney, the administrative trademark judges or the USPTO

Oral argument

- Consider whether an oral argument would help the judges understand the issues, evidence and your arguments
 - Does your case raise an issue of first impression?
 - Are you arguing for the Board to overrule existing precedent?
 - Is there specific evidence you want to highlight or explain?
- Focus on your strongest arguments
- Have command of the record. The judges may ask you to point to specific evidence that supports your argument

Inter partes (trial) proceedings

Opposition: Filing

- Registration may be opposed by any party which believes it would be damaged by registration
- Opposer must file a notice of opposition within thirty days of publication or within a granted extension of time to oppose
- Must include the filing fee
- May be based on any ground for refusal of registration

Cancellation: Filing

Same as opposition but filed after registration

- Within five years of registration cancellation may be based on any ground for refusal
- After three years of registration expungement
- After five years grounds for cancellation limited, including:
 - Genericness
 - Functionality
 - Abandonment
 - Obtained by fraud
 - Likelihood of confusion and descriptiveness are not available after five years



Grounds to oppose/cancel

- Grounds available in appeal and trial cases:
 - Trademark Act §§ 2(a)-(e)
 - § 6 (disclaimers) & § 23 (Supplemental register)
- Additional grounds available in inter partes:
 - Non-use (Trademark Act § 1(a))
 - Lack of bona fide intent to use (Trademark Act § 1(b))
 - Abandonment (Trademark Act § 14(3))
 - Dilution (Trademark Act § 43(c))
 - Fraud (Fed. R. Civ. P. 9)



Inter partes timeline: Pleadings

- Pleadings define the issues in the case
- Complaint: Either a notice of opposition or petition for cancellation
 - Consists of a "short and plain" statement of
 - Entitlement reasons plaintiff believes it will be damaged by registration
 - Grounds provide fair notice of the basis for each claim and be plausible
- Answer: Response to complaint
 - Affirmative defenses may be asserted
- Counterclaim: To cancel plaintiff's pleaded registration(s)
 - Plaintiff allowed time to answer counterclaim



Inter partes timeline: Discovery

Discovery conference

- Topics: Discovery planning, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)
- Board participation
- Initial disclosures, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)
 - Individuals likely to have discoverable information
 - Documents which may be used to support claims or defenses

Discovery

- Interrogatory requests for written answers
- Requests for production of documents or things
- Depositions: Live testimony under oath
- Requests for admission: requests to admit facts

Expert disclosures



Inter partes timeline: Trial

- Pre-trial disclosures
- Plaintiff's trial period 30 days
 - Testimony by deposition or affidavit
 - Notices of reliance documentary evidence
 - Discovery materials Rule 2.120(j)(3)(i)
 - Registrations Rule 2.122(d)(2)
 - Printed publications and official records Rule 2.122(e)
 - Trademark Rule 2.132 motions
- Defendant's trial period 30 days
- Rebuttal period 15 days
 - Must respond to defendant's evidence



Inter partes timeline: Briefing

- Briefs: Written arguments on law and facts
 - No new evidence
 - Attachments discouraged (and usually ignored)
 - Page limits strictly enforced
 - Cite to evidence by referencing the TTABVUE record

Plaintiff's brief

- 55 pages maximum
- Due 60 days after close of rebuttal testimony

Defendant's brief

- 55 pages maximum
- Due 30 days after due date of plaintiff's brief

Rebuttal brief

- 25 pages maximum
- Due 15 days after due date of defendant's brief



Inter partes timeline: Oral hearing

- Scheduled only at the request of either party
- Attendance of non-requesting party not required
- Participation by video currently preferred; in person upon request
- Not a formal part of the record; no transcript or recording
- No new evidence or testimony at hearing



Inter partes timeline: Decision

- Decision: The explanation of the Board's judgment
 - Panel of three administrative judges
 - Requires consideration of all relevant evidence and arguments
 - Findings of fact and conclusions of law
 - A judge who disagrees with the result may write a dissenting opinion
 - A judge who agrees with the result, but not the reasoning of the majority, may write a concurring opinion



Review of Board decisions

- Judicial review of Board's decision
 - Must be taken within sixty-three days of final decision
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
 - Appeal on the administrative record
 - Briefs and oral argument
- United States District Court
 - Administrative record
 - New testimony or evidence allowed
 - De novo review by new trial



Useful resources

- Trademark Statute and Rules
 - https://tfsr.uspto.gov/RDMS/TFSR/current
 - Trademark Act of 1946 (as amended) 15 USC § 1051, et seq.
 - Rules of Practice in Trademark Cases 35 CFR § 2.1, et seq.
 - Representation of Others Before the USPTO
 35 CFR Parts 10 & 11
- TTAB precedential decisions United States Patent Quarterly
- Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP)
 - https://tmep.uspto.gov/RDMS/TMEP/current

- <u>Trademark Trial and Appeal Board</u>
 <u>Manual of Procedure (TBMP)</u>
 - https://tbmp.uspto.gov/RDMS/TBMP/current
- <u>TTABVUE (TTAB electronic dockets</u> and case files)
 - http://ttabvue.uspto.gov/ttabvue/
- <u>TTAB decision summaries at</u>
 <u>TTAB Reading Room</u>
 - https://ttab-readingroom.uspto.gov/efoia/efoiaui/#/search/decisions
- Official Gazette of the USPTO
 - www.uspto.gov/learning-and-resources/officialgazette/trademark-official-gazette-tmog

Other USPTO links

- USPTO home page
 - www.uspto.gov
- Trademark Examining Operations
 - www.uspto.gov/trademark
 - Search pending and registered trademarks (TESS)
 - File trademark applications and documents (TEAS)
 - Check status and view trademark files (TSDR)

- Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
 - www.uspto.gov/ttab
 - File TTAB documents (ESTTA)
 - View TTAB dockets and files (TTABVUE)
 - Statute and Rules
 - TTAB Manual (TBMP)



